

Alternating group covers of the affine line

Jeremy Muskat and Rachel Pries

April 13, 2010

Abstract

For an odd prime $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, we prove Abhyankar's Inertia Conjecture for the alternating group A_{p+2} , by showing that every possible inertia group occurs for a (wildly ramified) A_{p+2} -Galois cover of the projective k -line branched only at infinity where k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. More generally, when $2 \leq s < p$ and $\gcd(p-1, s+1) = 1$, we prove that all but finitely many rational numbers which satisfy the obvious necessary conditions occur as the upper jump in the filtration of higher ramification groups of an A_{p+s} -Galois cover of the projective line branched only at infinity.

2010 MSC: 11G20 and 12F12.

1 Introduction

Suppose $\phi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ is a G -Galois cover of the projective k -line branched only at ∞ where G is a finite group and k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $p(G) \subset G$ be the normal subgroup generated by the conjugates of a Sylow p -subgroup. Then the $G/p(G)$ -Galois quotient cover is a prime-to- p Galois cover of $\mathbb{P}_k^1$ branched only at ∞ . Since the prime-to- p fundamental group of the affine line $\mathbb{A}_k^1$ is trivial, this implies that $p(G) = G$; a group G satisfying this condition is called *quasi- p* . In 1957, Abhyankar conjectured that a finite group G occurs as the Galois group of a cover $\phi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ branched only at ∞ if and only if G is a quasi- p group [1]. Abhyankar's conjecture was proved by Raynaud [10] and Harbater [7].

Now suppose G_0 is the inertia group at a ramified point of ϕ . Then G_0 is a semi-direct product of the form $G_1 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/(m)$ where G_1 is a p -group and $p \nmid m$ [12, IV]. Let $J \subset G$ be the normal subgroup generated by the conjugates

of G_1 . Then the G/J -Galois quotient cover is a tame Galois cover of $\mathbb{P}_k^1$ branched only at ∞ . Since the tame fundamental group of $\mathbb{A}_k^1$ is trivial, this implies that $J = G$. Based on this, Abhyankar stated the currently unproven Inertia Conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1 (Inertia Conjecture). *[3, Section 16] Let G be a finite quasi- p group. Let G_0 be a subgroup of G which is an extension of a cyclic group of order prime-to- p by a p -group G_1 . Then G_0 occurs as the inertia group of a ramified point of a G -Galois cover $\phi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ branched only at ∞ if and only if the conjugates of G_1 generate G .*

There is not much evidence to support the converse direction of Conjecture 1.1. For every finite quasi- p group G , the Sylow p -subgroups of G do occur as the inertia groups of a G -Galois cover of $\mathbb{P}_k^1$ branched only at ∞ [6]. For $p \geq 5$, Abhyankar's Inertia Conjecture is true for the quasi- p groups A_p and $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ [5, Thm. 2]. In Theorem 5.2, we prove:

Theorem 1.2. *If $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ is an odd prime, then Abhyankar's Inertia Conjecture is true for the quasi- p group A_{p+2} . In other words, every subgroup $G_0 \subset A_{p+2}$ of the form $\mathbb{Z}/(p) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/(m)$ occurs as the inertia group of an A_{p+2} -Galois cover of $\mathbb{P}_k^1$ branched only at ∞ .*

Note that the values of m such that A_{p+2} contains a subgroup $G_0 \simeq \mathbb{Z}/(p) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/(m)$ are exactly the divisors of $p-1$. We also give a second proof of Abhyankar's Inertia Conjecture for the group A_p when $p \geq 5$; this proof uses the original equations of Abhyankar [2] rather than relying on the theory of semi-stable reduction.

More generally, we study the ramification filtrations of A_n -Galois covers $\phi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ branched only at ∞ when p is odd and $p \leq n < 2p$. This condition ensures that the order of A_n is strictly divisible by p , and so the ramification filtration is determined by the order of G_0 and the upper jump σ . The upper jump is a rational number that satisfies some necessary conditions, Notation 5.5. One motivation to study the ramification filtration is that it determines the genus of Y .

In Theorem 4.9, we compute the order of the inertia group and the upper jump of the ramification filtration of Abhyankar's A_{p+s} -Galois cover $\phi_s : Y_s \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ branched only at ∞ when $2 \leq s < p$. This determines the genus of Y_s , which turns out to be quite small. When $\gcd(p-1, s+1) = 1$, the inertia group is a maximal subgroup of the form $\mathbb{Z}/(p) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/(m)$ in A_{p+s} . This is

the basis of the proof of Theorem 1.2 when $s = 2$. It also leads to another application, Corollary 5.6, where we use the theory of formal patching to prove:

Corollary 1.3. *Suppose $2 \leq s < p$ and $\gcd(p-1, s+1) = 1$. Then all but finitely many rational numbers σ satisfying the obvious necessary conditions occur as the upper jump of an A_{p+s} -Galois cover of $\mathbb{P}_k^1$ branched only at ∞ .*

In fact, Corollary 1.3 is a strengthening of Theorem 1.2 when $s = 2$. When $s > 2$, the normalizer of a p -cycle in A_{p+s} contains more than one maximal subgroup of the form $\mathbb{Z}/(p) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/(m)$. This is because there are many elements of prime-to- p order that centralize a p -cycle in A_{p+s} . Thus, when $s > 2$, more equations will be needed to verify Abhyankar's Inertia Conjecture for the group A_{p+s} using the strategy of this paper.

We would like to thank Irene Bouw for suggesting this approach for this project. The second author was partially supported by NSF grant 07-01303.

2 Background

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq 3$. A *curve* in this paper is a smooth connected projective k -curve. A cover ϕ of the projective line branched only at ∞ will be called a *cover of the affine line* and the inertia group at a ramification point of ϕ above ∞ will be called *the inertia group of ϕ* . A G -Galois cover is a Galois cover $\phi : Y \rightarrow X$ together with an isomorphism $G \simeq \text{Aut}(Y/X)$; (the choice of isomorphism will not be important in this paper).

2.1 Ramification

Let K be the function field of a k -curve X . A *place* P of K/k is the maximal ideal of a valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_P \subset K$. Let $\mathcal{P}_K$ denote the set of all such places. Let v_P denote the normed discrete valuation on the valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_P$. A *local parameter* at P is an element $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_P$ such that $v_P(\alpha) = 1$.

Consider a finite separable extension F/K . Let $\tilde{F}$ be the Galois closure of F/K and let G be the Galois group of $\tilde{F}/K$. A place $Q \in \mathcal{P}_F$ is said to *lie over* $P \in \mathcal{P}_K$ if $\mathcal{O}_P = \mathcal{O}_Q \cap K$ and we denote this by $Q|P$. For any $Q \in \mathcal{P}_F$ with $Q|P$, there is a unique integer $e(Q|P)$ such that $v_Q(x) = e(Q|P)v_P(x)$ for any $x \in K$. The integer $e(Q|P)$ is the *ramification index* of $Q|P$ in F/K .

The extension F/K is *wildly ramified* at $Q|P$ if p divides $e(Q|P)$. When there exists a ramification point Q such that p divides $e(Q|P)$, we say that the extension is *wildly ramified*.

2.2 Higher Ramification Groups

We will need the following material from [13, Chapter 3].

Definition 2.1. For any integer $i \geq -1$ the i -th lower ramification group of $Q|P$ is

$$G_i(Q|P) = \{\sigma \in G : v_Q(\sigma(z) - z) \geq i + 1 \text{ for all } z \in \mathcal{O}_Q\}.$$

We let G_i denote $G_i(Q|P)$ when the places are clear from context.

Proposition 2.2. *With the notation above, then:*

1. G_0 is the inertia group of $Q|P$, and thus $|G_0| = e(Q|P)$, and G_1 is a p -group.
2. $G_{-1} \supseteq G_0 \supseteq \cdots$ and $G_h = \{\text{Id}\}$ for sufficiently large h .

Theorem 2.3 (Hilbert's Different Formula). *The different exponent of F/K at $Q|P$ is*

$$d(Q|P) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (|G_i(Q|P)| - 1).$$

Here is the Riemann-Hurwitz formula for wildly ramified extensions.

Theorem 2.4 (Riemann-Hurwitz Formula). *Let g (resp. g') be the genus of the function field K/k (resp. F/k). Then*

$$2g' - 2 = [F : K](2g - 2) + \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}_K} \sum_{Q|P} d(Q|P).$$

2.3 Properties of Ramification Groups

Suppose that the order of G is strictly divisible by p . Suppose that F/K is wildly ramified at Q . The following material about the structure of the inertia group and the higher ramification groups can be found in [12, IV].

Lemma 2.5. [12, IV, Cor. 4] If F/K is wildly ramified at $Q \in \mathcal{P}_F$ with inertia group G_0 such that $p^2 \nmid |G_0|$, then G_0 is a semidirect product of the form $\mathbb{Z}/(p) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/(m)$ for some prime-to- p integer m .

The lower numbering on the filtration from Definition 2.1 is invariant under sub-extensions. There is a different indexing system on the filtration, whose virtue is that it is invariant under quotient extensions.

Definition 2.6. [12, IV, Section 3] The *lower jump* of F/K of $Q|P$ is the largest integer h such that $G_h \neq \{1\}$. Let $\varphi(i) = |G_0|^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^i |G_j|$. Define $G^{\varphi(i)} = G_i$. Then $\varphi(h) = h/m$. The rational number $\sigma = h/m$ is the *upper jump*; it is the jump in the filtration of the higher ramification groups in the upper numbering.

Let $\tau \in G_0$ have order p and $\beta \in G_0$ have order m , so that $G_0 \cong \langle \tau \rangle \rtimes \langle \beta \rangle$.

Lemma 2.7. [12, IV, Prop. 9] With notation as above:

1. If $\beta \in G_0$ has order m and h is the lower jump, then $\beta\tau\beta^{-1} = \beta^h\tau$.
2. G_0 is contained in the normalizer $N_G(\langle \tau \rangle)$.

2.4 Alternating groups

Suppose that G is an alternating group A_n . Let $p \leq n < 2p$ so that $p^2 \nmid |G|$. The following lemmas give an upper bound for the size of the inertia group.

Lemma 2.8. Let $\tau = (12 \dots p)$. Then $N_{A_p}(\langle \tau \rangle) = \langle \tau \rangle \rtimes \langle \beta_o \rangle$ for some $\beta_o \in A_p$ with $|\beta_o| = (p-1)/2$.

Proof. Let n_p be the number of Sylow p -subgroups of A_p ; then $n_p = [A_p : N_{A_p}(\langle \tau \rangle)]$. There are $(p-1)!$ different p -cycles in A_p , each generating a group with $p-1$ non-trivial elements. It follows that $n_p = (p-2)!$. Therefore, $|N_{A_p}(\langle \tau \rangle)| = p(p-1)/2$.

Clearly, $\langle \tau \rangle \subset N_{A_p}(\langle \tau \rangle)$; we show the existence of β_o . Let $a \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ with $|a| = p-1$. There exists $\theta \in S_p$ such that $\theta\tau\theta^{-1} = \tau^a$. The permutation θ exists since all p -cycles in S_p are in the same conjugacy class. Let $\beta_o = \theta^2$. Then $\beta_o \in A_p$ and $\beta_o \in N_{A_p}(\langle \tau \rangle)$. Also, for any r ,

$$\beta_o^r \tau \beta_o^{-r} = \theta^{2r} \tau \theta^{-2r} = \tau^{a^{2r}}.$$

Choosing $r = (p - 1)/2$ shows that $\beta_{\circ}^{(p-1)/2}$ is contained in the centralizer $C_{A_p}(\langle \tau \rangle) = \langle \tau \rangle$, and it follows that $\beta_{\circ}^{(p-1)/2} = 1$. If $1 \leq r < (p - 1)/2$, then $\beta_{\circ}^r \notin C_{A_p}(\langle \tau \rangle)$ and thus $\beta_{\circ}^r \neq 1$. It follows that $\beta_{\circ}$ normalizes $\langle \tau \rangle$ in A_p and $\beta_{\circ}$ has order $(p - 1)/2$. $\square$

Recall that $C_{S_n}(\langle \tau \rangle) = \langle \tau \rangle \times H$ where $H = \{\omega \in S_n : \omega \text{ is disjoint from } \tau\}$.

Lemma 2.9. *Let $2 \leq s < p$ and let $\tau = (12 \dots p)$. Let $H_s \subset S_{p+s}$ be the subgroup of permutations of the set $\{p+1, p+2, \dots, p+s\}$. Then there exists $\theta \in S_p$ such that $|\theta| = p - 1$ and $N_{A_{p+s}}(\langle \tau \rangle)$ is the intersection of A_{p+s} with $(\langle \tau \rangle \rtimes \langle \theta \rangle) \times H_s$.*

Proof. The permutation θ in the proof of Lemma 2.8 has order $p - 1$ and normalizes τ . The elements of H_s commute with τ and θ . Thus $(\langle \tau \rangle \rtimes \langle \theta \rangle) \times H_s \subset N_{S_{p+s}}(\langle \tau \rangle)$. Performing a similar count as for Lemma 2.8, we find that the number of Sylow p -subgroups in S_{p+s} is $(p + s)!/(s!p(p - 1))$. Therefore $|N_{S_{p+s}}(\langle \tau \rangle)| = s!p(p - 1)$. Thus $(\langle \tau \rangle \rtimes \langle \theta \rangle) \times H_s = N_{S_{p+s}}(\langle \tau \rangle)$. The result follows by taking the intersection with A_{p+s} . $\square$

Note that the order of $N_{A_p}(\langle \tau \rangle)$ forces θ to be an odd permutation. Suppose $G_0 = \langle \tau \rangle \rtimes \langle \beta \rangle$ is a subgroup of A_{p+s} . Then $\beta = \theta^i \omega$ where $\omega \in H_s$ and ω is an even permutation if and only if i is even.

Recall that for an inertia group G_0 with $p^2 \nmid |G_0|$, there is a unique lower jump h which encodes information about the filtration of higher ramification groups. The following two lemmas relate the congruence class of h modulo m to the order of the centralizer $C_{G_0}(\langle \tau \rangle)$.

Lemma 2.10. *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ be an A_p -Galois cover which is wildly ramified at a point Q above ∞ with inertia group G_0 . If $|G_0| = pm$ and π has lower jump h at Q , then $\gcd(h, m) = 1$.*

Proof. Let $\beta \in A_p$ be such that $G_0 = \langle \tau \rangle \rtimes \langle \beta \rangle$. Notice that $C_{G_0}(\langle \tau \rangle) = \langle \tau \rangle$ since there are no elements of A_p disjoint from τ . Then $\beta^i \notin C_{G_0}(\langle \tau \rangle)$ for all $1 \leq i < m$. By Lemma 2.7(1), if $1 \leq i < m$, then $\tau \neq \beta^i \tau \beta^{-i} = \beta^{ih} \tau$. Notice that $\beta^{ih} \neq 1$ which implies that $m \nmid ih$ for each $1 \leq i < m$. Hence $\gcd(h, m) = 1$. $\square$

Lemma 2.11. *Let $2 \leq s < p$, and let $\phi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ be an A_{p+s} -Galois cover which is wildly ramified at a point Q above ∞ with inertia group G_0 . If $|G_0| = pm$ and ϕ has lower jump h at Q , then $C_{G_0}(\langle \tau \rangle) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p) \times \mathbb{Z}/(m')$ where $m' = \gcd(h, m)$.*

Proof. Let $\beta \in A_{p+s}$ be such that $G_0 = \langle \tau \rangle \rtimes \langle \beta \rangle$. Let $m' = \gcd(h, m)$. Then Lemma 2.7(1) implies $\beta^{m/m'} \tau \beta^{-m/m'} = \beta^{m \cdot h/m'} \tau = \tau$. The last equality is true because $|\beta| = m$ and $h/m' \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that $\beta^{m/m'} \in C_{G_0}(\langle \tau \rangle)$, that is $\langle \tau \rangle \times \langle \beta^{m/m'} \rangle \subset C_{G_0}(\langle \tau \rangle)$.

Suppose that $\alpha \in \langle \beta \rangle \cap C_{G_0}(\langle \tau \rangle)$. Lemma 2.7(1) implies $\tau = \alpha \tau \alpha^{-1} = \alpha^h \tau$. It follows that $|\alpha|$ divides h and m , so $|\alpha|$ divides m' and $\alpha \in \langle \beta^{m/m'} \rangle$. Hence $C_{G_0}(\langle \tau \rangle) = \langle \tau \rangle \times \langle \beta^{m/m'} \rangle$. $\square$

3 Newton Polygons

Suppose f defines a degree n extension F of $k(x)$ that is ramified above the place (x) . Let $\tilde{F}$ be the splitting field of f over $k(x)$. Let Q be a ramified place in $\tilde{F}$ above (x) . Let G_0 be the inertia group of $\tilde{F}/k(x)$ at Q . Let ϵ be a local parameter of the valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_Q$. Let v_Q denote the valuation at Q .

The Galois extension $\tilde{F}/k(x)$ yields a totally ramified Galois extension of complete local rings $k[[\epsilon]]/k[[x]]$. Let $f_2 \in k[[x]][y]$ be the minimal polynomial for ϵ . Let $e = e(Q|0)$ be the degree of f_2 . Define a polynomial $N(z) \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_Q[z]$ such that

$$\epsilon^{-e} N(z) := \epsilon^{-e} f_2(\epsilon(z+1)) = \prod_{\omega \in G_0} \left(z - \left(\frac{\omega(\epsilon) - \epsilon}{\epsilon} \right) \right). \quad (3.1)$$

Define coefficients $b_i \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_Q$ such that $N(z) = \sum_{i=1}^e b_i z^i$. The Newton polygon Δ of $N(z)$ is obtained by taking the lower convex hull of the set of points $\{(i, v_Q(b_i))\}_{i=1}^e$. Since f_2 is monic, the polygon is a sequence of line segments with increasing negative slopes.

The next proposition shows that the higher ramification groups of $\tilde{F}/k(x)$ at Q are determined by the slopes of Δ . This is not surprising because, as in [8, Chapter 2], the Newton polygon of $N(z)$ relates the valuations of the coefficients and roots of $N(z)$ and the higher ramification groups are determined by studying the valuation of the roots of $N(z)$.

Proposition 3.1. *[11, Thm. 1] Let $\{V_0, V_1, \dots, V_r\}$ be the vertices of Δ and $-h_j$ the slope of the edge joining V_{j-1} and V_j . The slopes are integral and the lower jumps in the sequence of higher ramification groups are $h_r < h_{r-1} < \dots < h_1$.*

Lemma 3.2. *For $1 < t < p - 2$, let $f_{1,t}(y) = y^p - xy^{p-t} + x \in k(x)[y]$. Let $F_t/k(x)$ be the corresponding extension of function fields and $\tilde{F}_t/k(x)$ its Galois closure. Let Q be a place of $\tilde{F}_t$ lying over 0. Then $e(Q|0) = pm$ for some integer m such that $p \nmid m$. Then the Newton polygon Δ_t of $\tilde{F}_t/k(x)$ has two line segments, one having integral slope $-m(p-t)/(p-1)$ and the other having slope 0.*

Proof. Let G be the Galois group of the extension $\tilde{F}_t/k(x)$. Notice that G is contained in S_p ; therefore the order of G is strictly divisible by p . The extension is branched over $x = 0$. Let P and Q be places lying above 0 in F_t and $\tilde{F}_t$ respectively. The format of the equation $f_{1,t}$ implies that $e(P|0) = p$; let m be the integer such that $e(Q|0) = pm$. Then $p \nmid m$ since $p^2 \nmid |G|$. Let G_0 be the inertia group at Q . Let x, η , and ϵ be local parameters of $\mathcal{O}_x$, $\mathcal{O}_P$, and $\mathcal{O}_Q$ respectively. The extension $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_Q/k[[x]]$ is totally ramified with Galois group G_0 of order pm .

Field	Complete Local Ring	Local Parameter
$\tilde{F}_t$	$\hat{\mathcal{O}}_Q$	ϵ
$ $	$ _m$	$ $
F_t	$\hat{\mathcal{O}}_P$	η
$ $	$ _p$	$ $
$k(x)$	$k[[x]]$	x

Notice that any root of $f_{1,t}$ is a local parameter at P since

$$p = v_P(x) = v_P\left(\frac{y^p}{y^{p-t} + 1}\right) = pv_P(y).$$

Thus we can assume that η is a root of $f_{1,t}$. Now consider η as an element of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_Q$. Then η can be expressed as a power series in the local parameter ϵ with coefficients in k , that is $\eta = u \cdot \epsilon^m$ where u is a unit of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_Q$. Also u is an m -th power in the complete local ring $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_Q$ so by changing the local parameter ϵ we can suppose $\eta = \epsilon^m$. It follows that ϵ satisfies the equation

$$f_{2,t}(\epsilon) = \epsilon^{pm} - x\epsilon^{m(p-t)} + x = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

The polynomial $f_{2,t}(\epsilon)$ is Eisenstein at the prime (x) . Now we consider

$$N(z) = f_{2,t}(\epsilon(z+1)) = \epsilon^{pm}(z+1)^{pm} - x\epsilon^{m(p-t)}(z+1)^{m(p-t)} + x. \quad (3.3)$$

Dividing both sides of Equation 3.3 by ϵ^{pm} produces a vertical shift by $-pm$ to the Newton polygon Δ_t . Vertical and horizontal shifts do not affect the slopes of the line segments of Δ_t . Substituting $x = \epsilon^{pm}/(\epsilon^{m(p-t)} - 1)$ and letting $d = 1/(\epsilon^{m(p-t)} - 1)$, then

$$\frac{N(z)}{\epsilon^{pm}} = (z+1)^{pm} - d\epsilon^{m(p-t)}(z+1)^{m(p-t)} + d.$$

Notice that $N(0) = 0$ so we can factor a power of z from $N(z)$. The effect on Δ_t is a shift in the horizontal direction by -1 . This results in

$$\frac{N(z)}{z\epsilon^{pm}} = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \binom{m}{i} z^{p(m-i)-1} + -d\epsilon^{m(p-t)} \sum_{i=0}^{m(p-t)-1} \binom{m(p-t)}{i} z^{m(p-t)-i-1}.$$

Let $z^{-1}\epsilon^{-pm}N(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{pm-1} b_j z^j$. The valuation of each b_j is greater than or equal to zero. The ramification polygon Δ_t is determined by calculating the valuations of the specific coefficients that determine the lower convex hull of Δ_t :

1. $v_Q(b_0) = v_Q(dmt\epsilon^{m(p-t)}) = m(p-t)$.
2. For $1 \leq j < p-1$, let $i_j = m(p-t) - j - 1$, then

$$v_Q(b_j) = v_Q\left(-d\epsilon^{m(p-t)} \binom{m(p-t)}{i_j}\right) \geq m(p-t).$$

$$3. \ v_Q(b_{p-1}) = v_Q\left(m - d\epsilon^{m(p-t)} \binom{m(p-t)}{m(p-t)-p}\right) = 0.$$

$$4. \ v_Q(b_{pm-1}) = v_Q(1) = 0.$$

The vertices of Δ_t are thus $(0, m(p-t))$, $(p-1, 0)$, and $(pm-1, 0)$. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. *For $2 \leq s < p$, let $g_s(y) = y^{p+s} - xy^s + 1 \in k(x)[y]$. Let $L_s/k(x)$ be the corresponding extension of function fields and $\tilde{L}_s/k(x)$ its Galois closure. Let Q be a place of $\tilde{L}_s$ lying over ∞ . Then $e(Q|\infty) = pm$ for some integer m such that $p \nmid m$ and the Newton polygon Δ'_s of $\tilde{L}_s/k(x)$ has two line segments, one having integral slope $-m(p+s)/(p-1)$ and the other having slope 0.*

Proof. Let G be the Galois group of the extension $\tilde{L}_s/k(x)$. Notice that G is contained in S_{p+s} ; therefore the order of G is strictly divisible by p . The extension is branched over ∞ . Let $P_{(\infty,0)}$ and $P_{(\infty,\infty)}$ be the two places of L_s lying above ∞ . The format of the equation g_s implies that $P_{(\infty,0)}$ and $P_{(\infty,\infty)}$ have ramification indices p and s respectively, see e.g., Lemma 4.8. Let Q be a place of $\tilde{L}_s$ lying above $P_{(\infty,0)}$. Let m be the integer $e(Q|\infty)/p$. Let G_0 be the inertia group at Q . Let x^{-1} , η , and ϵ be local parameters of $\mathcal{O}_{x^{-1}}$, $\mathcal{O}_{P_{(\infty,0)}}$, and $\mathcal{O}_Q$ respectively.

Field		Complete Local Ring		Local Parameter
$\tilde{L}_s$	Q	$\hat{\mathcal{O}}_Q$		ϵ
$ $	$m $	$m $		$ $
L_s	$P_{(\infty,0)}$	$\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{P_{(\infty,0)}}$		η
$ $	$p \setminus$	$p $		$ $
$k(x)$	∞	$k[[x^{-1}]]$		x^{-1}

Then $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_Q/k[[x^{-1}]]$ is a totally ramified Galois extension with Galois group G_0 of order pm . By the same reasoning as for Lemma 3.2, there exists a local parameter ϵ of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_Q$ that satisfies $\epsilon^m = \eta$. Therefore ϵ satisfies the irreducible equation

$$g_{2,s}(\epsilon) = \epsilon^{m(p+s)} - x\epsilon^{ms} + 1 = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

We calculate the ramification polygon Δ'_s by considering

$$N(z) = g_{2,s}(\epsilon(z+1)) = \epsilon^{m(p+s)}(z+1)^{m(p+s)} - x\epsilon^{ms}(z+1)^{ms} + 1. \quad (3.5)$$

Since $N(0) = 0$, it follows that

$$\frac{N(z)}{z\epsilon^{m(p+s)}} = (z+1)^{ms} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \binom{m}{i} z^{p(m-i)-1} - (1 + \epsilon^{-m(p-s)}) \sum_{i=0}^{ms-1} \binom{ms}{i} z^{ms-1-i}.$$

Let $z^{-1}\epsilon^{-m(p+s)}N(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{m(p+s)-1} b_j z^j$. The valuation of each b_j is non-negative. The ramification polygon Δ'_s is determined when we calculate the valuations of the specific coefficients that determine the lower convex hull of Δ'_s .

1. $v_Q(b_0) = m(p+s)$.
2. $v_Q(b_j) \geq m(p+s)$ for $1 \leq j < p-1$.

$$3. v_Q(b_{p-1}) = 0.$$

$$4. v_Q(b_{m(p+s)-1}) = 0.$$

The vertices of Δ'_s are thus $(0, m(p-s))$, $(p-1, 0)$, and $(m(p+s)-1, 0)$. $\square$

4 A_n -Galois covers of the affine line

Suppose $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ is an A_n -Galois cover branched only at ∞ . The cover is wildly ramified at each point $Q \in X$ above ∞ . The complexity of the wild ramification is directly related to the power of p that divides the ramification index $e(Q|\infty)$. For this reason, we concentrate on Galois groups A_n such that the order of A_n is strictly divisible by p . We use some equations of Abhyankar to study A_n -Galois covers when p is odd and $p \leq n < 2p$. The goal is to determine the inertia groups and upper jumps that occur for A_n -Galois covers $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ branched only at ∞ . This ramification data also determines the genus of the curve X .

4.1 Two useful lemmas

The following is a version of Abhyankar's Lemma which will be needed to construct a G -Galois cover of $\mathbb{P}_k^1$ branched only at ∞ from a G -Galois cover of $\mathbb{P}_k^1$ branched at 0 and ∞ .

Lemma 4.1 (Refined Abhyankar's Lemma). *Let m , r_1 , and r_2 be prime-to- p integers. Suppose $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ is a G -Galois cover with branch locus $\{0, \infty\}$. Suppose π has ramification index r_1 above 0 and inertia group $G_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/(m)$ above ∞ with lower jump h . Let $\psi : \mathbb{P}_k^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ be an r_2 -cyclic cover with branch locus $\{0, \infty\}$. Assume that π and ψ are linearly disjoint.*

Then the pullback $\pi' = \psi^ \pi$ is a G -Galois cover $\pi' : X' \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ with branch locus contained in $\{0, \infty\}$, with ramification index $r_1/\gcd(r_1, r_2)$ above 0, with inertia group $G'_0 \subset G_0$ of order $pm/\gcd(m, r_2)$ above ∞ and with lower jump $hr_2/\gcd(m, r_2)$. If σ and σ' are the upper jumps of π and π' respectively, then $\sigma' = r_2\sigma$.*

Proof. Consider the fibre product:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & X & \leftarrow & X' & \\ \pi \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \pi' \\ & \mathbb{P}_k^1 & \leftarrow & \mathbb{P}_k^1 & \\ & & \psi & & \end{array}$$

All the claims follow from the classical version of Abhyankar's Lemma [7, Lemma X.3.6] except for the information about the lower and upper jumps of π' . Consider the composition $\psi\pi'$ which has ramification index $pmr_2/\gcd(m, r_2)$ above ∞ . Since upper jumps are invariant under quotients, the upper jump of $\psi\pi'$ equals σ . Thus the lower jump of $\psi\pi'$ equals $\sigma mr_2/\gcd(m, r_2) = hr_2/\gcd(m, r_2)$ by Definition 2.6. This equals the lower jump of π' since lower jumps are invariant for subcovers and the claim about the upper jump of π' follows from Definition 2.6. $\square$

The following lemma is useful to compare ramification information about a cover and its Galois closure. Let $S_n^1 := \text{Stab}_{S_n}(1)$.

Lemma 4.2. *If $\rho : Z \rightarrow W$ is a cover with Galois closure $\pi : X \rightarrow W$, then the branch locus of ρ and of π are the same.*

Proof. The branch locus of ρ is contained in the branch locus of π since ramification indices are multiplicative. Assume that b is in the branch locus of π but not in the branch locus of ρ . We will show that this is impossible. The Galois group H of π is a transitive subgroup of S_n , where n is the degree of ρ . The Galois group H' of $X \rightarrow Z$ is a subgroup of H with index n . After identifying H with a subgroup of S_n , we can assume without loss of generality that $H' \subset S_n^1$. Let $Q \in X$ be a ramification point lying above b with inertia group G_0 . Conjugating G_0 by an element $\omega \in H$ results in an inertia group at some point of X above b . Since b is not a branch point of ρ , we have that $\omega G_0 \omega^{-1} \subset S_n^1$ for all $\omega \in H$. This is impossible since H is transitive on the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Therefore the branch loci must be the same. $\square$

4.2 A_p -Galois covers of the affine line

Let $p \geq 5$. In this section, we find A_p -Galois covers $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ branched only at ∞ with a small upper jump.

Notation 4.3. Let t be an integer with $1 < t < p-2$ and let $f_t = y^p - y^t + x$. Consider the curve Z_t with function field $F_t := k(x)[y]/(f_t)$. Let $\pi_t : X_t \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ be the Galois closure of $\rho_t : Z_t \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$; the function field of X_t is the Galois closure $\tilde{F}_t$ of $F_t/k(x)$. Let ζ be a $(p-t)$ th root of unity.

Abhyankar proved that the Galois group of π_t is A_p when t is odd and S_p when t is even [2, Section 20]. For the proof, he showed that the Galois group is doubly transitive on the set $\{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ and contains a certain cycle

type. We now study the ramification of the cover π_t . The following result can be found in [2, Section 20].

Lemma 4.4. *The cover $\pi_t : X_t \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ has one ramified point above $x = 0$ with ramification index t and is unramified above all other points of $\mathbb{A}_k^1$.*

Proof. When $x = 0$ in the equation f_t , then $y = 0$ or $y = \zeta^i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq p - t$. There are $p - t + 1$ points in the fibre of ρ above the point $x = 0$ which we denote by $P_{(0,0)}$ and $P_{(0,\zeta)}, \dots, P_{(0,1)}$. Since $p - t + 1 < p$, then $x = 0$ is a branch point of ρ .

The value $y = 0$ is the only solution to $\partial f / \partial y = 0$. Therefore $P_{(0,0)}$ is the only ramification point above $\mathbb{A}_k^1$. The Galois group H of π_t is either S_p or A_p . Thus Lemma 4.2 implies that π_t is unramified above all points of $\mathbb{A}_k^1$ except $x = 0$.

Because $p = \sum_{P \mid 0} e(P \mid 0)$, it follows that $P_{(0,0)}$ has ramification index t . Let $Q \in X_t$ be a point lying above $P_{(0,0)}$. It remains to show that $e(Q \mid P_{(0,0)}) = 1$.

Let H' be the Galois group of $X_t \rightarrow Z_t$. Without loss of generality we can suppose that $H' \subset S_p^1$. Since $p \nmid |H'|$, Lemma 2.5 implies that $G_0(Q \mid 0)$ is a cyclic group of order $t \cdot c$ for some prime-to- p integer c .

Assume $c \neq 1$. If ω is a generator for $G_0(Q \mid 0)$; then $\omega \notin S_p^1$ since $P_{(0,0)}$ is ramified over 0. Then $G_0(Q \mid P_{(0,0)}) = \langle \omega^t \rangle \subset S_p^1$. By the assumption on c , the automorphism ω^t is not the identity. Since H is transitive on $\{1, 2, \dots, p\}$, there exists $\gamma \in H$ such that $\gamma \phi^t \gamma^{-1} \notin S_p^1$.

There exists a point $\tilde{Q}$ in the fiber of X_t above 0 such that $G_0(\tilde{Q} \mid 0) = \langle \gamma^{-1} \phi \gamma \rangle$. Since $\gamma \notin S_p^1$, the point $\tilde{Q}$ is in the fibre of π_t over 0 but not in the fibre above $P_{(0,0)}$. Furthermore, $\gamma \phi^t \gamma^{-1} = (\gamma \phi \gamma^{-1})^t \in G_0(\tilde{Q} \mid 0)$. Hence $G_0(\tilde{Q} \mid 0) \not\subset S_p^1$. Therefore, for some i , the extension $P_{(0,\zeta^i)} \mid 0$ is ramified. This gives a contradiction so the assumption that $c \neq 1$ is false. $\square$

Lemma 2.5 implies that the inertia group G_0 at a point of X_t over ∞ is of the form $\mathbb{Z}/(p) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/(m)$ where $p \nmid m$. To determine the upper jump σ of π_t over ∞ , we use the equation f_t to understand the ramification that occurs in the quotient map $\rho_t : Z_t \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$.

Lemma 4.5. *The cover $\pi_t : X_t \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ has ramification index $p(p-1)/\gcd(p-1, t-1)$ and upper jump $\sigma = (p-t)/(p-1)$ above ∞ .*

Proof. Let P_∞ be a point of Z_t that lies above ∞ . Then

$$-e(P_\infty|\infty) = v_{P_\infty}(x) = v_{P_\infty}(y^p - y^t) = pv_{P_\infty}(y).$$

Therefore $p|e(P_\infty|\infty)$ and it follows that ρ is totally ramified at P_∞ .

Consider the change of variables $x \mapsto 1/x$ and $y \mapsto 1/y$. Understanding the ramification over ∞ is equivalent to understanding the ramification over $x = 0$ of the cover with equation $f_{1,t} = y^p - xy^{p-t} + x$. By Lemma 3.2, the absolute value of the non-zero slope of the ramification polygon Δ_t of $\tilde{F}_t/k(x)$ is $m(p-t)/(p-1)$; this is the lower jump by Lemma 3.1. By Definition 2.6, the upper jump is $\sigma = (p-t)/(p-1)$.

By Lemma 2.10, h and m are co-prime, therefore $h = (p-t)/\gcd(p-1, t-1)$ and $m = (p-1)/\gcd(p-1, t-1)$ and $|G_0| = pm$. $\square$

Theorem 4.6. *For $1 < t < (p-2)$, let $m_t = (p-1)/\gcd(p-1, t(t-1))$. Then there exists an A_p -Galois cover $\pi'_t : X'_t \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ branched only at ∞ with ramification index pm_t and upper jump $\sigma'_t = t(p-t)/(p-1)$. The genus of X'_t is $1 + |A_p|(t(p-t) - p - 1/m_t)/2p$.*

Proof. Let $d_1 = \gcd(p-1, t-1)$ and let $m = (p-1)/d_1$. Consider the Galois cover $\pi_t : X_t \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ from Notation 4.3. Lemma 4.4 states that π_t has ramification index t above 0 and is unramified above $\mathbb{A}_k^1 - \{0\}$. Lemma 4.5 states that the inertia group G_0 above ∞ has order pm and upper jump $\sigma_t = (p-t)/(p-1)$.

If t is odd, then π_t has Galois group A_p . Let $m^* = \gcd(m, t)$. Since A_p is simple, the cover π_t is linearly disjoint from the t -cyclic cover $\psi : \mathbb{P}_k^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ with equation $z^t = x$. Applying Lemma 4.1, the pullback $\pi'_t = \psi^* \pi_t$ is a cover $\pi'_t : X'_t \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ with Galois group A_p . The map π'_t is branched only at ∞ with inertia group G'_0 of order pm/m^* and upper jump $\sigma'_t = t(p-t)/(p-1)$. Notice that $d_1 m^* = \gcd(p-1, t(t-1))$, so the inertia group has order $pm/m^* = p(p-1)/\gcd(p-1, t(t-1))$.

If t is even, then π_t has Galois group S_p . Let Y_t be the smooth projective curve corresponding to the fixed field $\tilde{F}_t^{A_p}$. Let $\mu_t : X_t \rightarrow Y_t$ be the subcover with Galois group A_p .

The branch locus of the degree 2 quotient cover $Y_t \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ is contained in $\{0, \infty\}$. The ramification index must be 2 over both 0 and ∞ . By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, Y_t has genus 0. Therefore $\mu_t : X_t \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ is an A_p -Galois cover of the projective line.

Let P_0 (resp. P_∞) be the point of Y_t above 0 (resp. ∞). Since ramification indices are multiplicative, μ_t has ramification index $t/2$ over P_0 and $|G_0|/2$ over P_∞ . It can be seen that $|G_0|/2 = pm/2$ is an integer from Lemma 4.6 since t is even. The lower jump of μ_t is the same as the lower jump of π_t since lower jumps are invariant under subextensions. Therefore the upper jump of μ_t is 2σ .

The cover μ_t is linearly disjoint from the $t/2$ -cyclic cover $\psi : \mathbb{P}_k^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ with equation $z^{t/2} = x$. Let $\overline{m} = \gcd(m/2, t/2)$. Applying Lemma 4.1, the pullback $\pi'_t = \psi^* \mu_t$ is an A_p -Galois cover $\pi'_t : X'_t \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$. The map π'_t is branched only at ∞ where it has inertia group G'_0 of order $pm/(2\overline{m})$ and upper jump $\sigma'_t = t(p-t)/(p-1)$. Notice that $pm/2\overline{m} = pm/m^*$, so $|G'_0| = p(p-1)/\gcd(p-1, t(t-1))$.

The genus calculation is immediate from the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, Theorem 2.4. $\square$

The smallest genus for an A_p -Galois cover obtained using the method of Theorem 4.6 is

$$g = 1 + |A_p|(p^2 - 5p + 2)/2p(p-1).$$

This occurs when $t = 2$ or $t = p-2$ and the upper jump is $\sigma = 2(p-2)/(p-1)$. To see this, consider the derivative $d\sigma/dt = (p-2t)/(p-1)$. Since this value of σ is less than 2, it is possible that this is the smallest genus that occurs among all A_p -Galois covers of the affine line. We find A_p -Galois covers with slightly larger upper jumps in Section 5.4.

4.3 A_{p+s} -Galois covers of the affine line

In this section, we find A_n -Galois covers of the projective line branched only at ∞ with small upper jump when p is odd and $p < n < 2p$.

Notation 4.7. Let s be an integer with $2 \leq s < p$. Consider the group A_{p+s} of even permutations on $p+s$ elements and the subgroup $H_s \subset S_{p+s}$ of permutations on $\{p+1, p+2, \dots, p+s\}$. Let $g_s = y^{p+s} - xy^s + 1$. Consider the curve Z'_s with function field $L_s := k(x)[y]/(g_s)$. Let $\phi_s : Y_s \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ be the Galois closure of $\rho'_s : Z'_s \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$; the function field of Y_s is the Galois closure $\tilde{L}_s$ of $L_s/k(x)$.

Abhyankar proved that the Galois group of ϕ_s is A_{p+s} except when $p = 7$ and $s = 2$ [2, Section 11]. The following result can be found in [2, Section 21].

Lemma 4.8. *The cover ρ'_s is branched only at ∞ . The fibre over ∞ consists of two points $P_{(\infty,0)}$ and $P_{(\infty,\infty)}$ which have ramification indices p and s respectively.*

Proof. There are no simultaneous solutions to the equations $g_s = 0$ and $\partial g_s / \partial y = 0$. Therefore the cover ρ'_s is not branched over any points of $\mathbb{A}_k^1$. Since the tame fundamental group of $\mathbb{A}_k^1$ is trivial, ρ'_s must be wildly ramified above ∞ . The fibre of Z'_s over ∞ consists of two points $P_{(\infty,0)}$ and $P_{(\infty,\infty)}$. The first point can be seen by applying the change of variables $x \mapsto 1/x$ to g_s . This produces the equation $xy^{p+s} - y^s + x$. Taking the partial derivative with respect to y yields the point $P_{(\infty,0)}$. The second point can be seen by applying the change of variables $y \mapsto 1/y$ to $xy^{p+s} - y^s + x$ resulting in the equation $x - y^p + xy^{p+s}$. Taking the partial derivative with respect to y yields the point $P_{(\infty,\infty)}$. To show that $e(P_{(\infty,0)}|\infty) = p$ and $e(P_{(\infty,\infty)}|\infty) = s$, let P be either $P_{(\infty,0)}$ or $P_{(\infty,\infty)}$ and consider the valuation v_P . The result follows since

$$-e(P|\infty) = v_P(x) = v(y^p + y^{-s}) = \min\{pv_P(y), -sv_P(y)\}.$$

□

Theorem 4.9. *Let $2 \leq s < p$. If $p = 7$, assume $s \neq 2$. Let $m_s = (p - 1)s/\gcd(p - 1, s(s + 1))$. Then there exists an A_{p+s} -Galois cover $\phi_s : Y_s \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ branched only at ∞ with inertia group G_0 of order pm_s and upper jump $\sigma_s = (p + s)/(p - 1)$. The genus of Y_s is $1 + |A_{p+s}|(s - 1/m_s)/2p$.*

In [4, Cor. 2.2], the author proves that the genus of Y_s in Theorem 4.9 is the smallest genus that occurs among all A_{p+s} -Galois covers of the affine line.

Proof. Consider the cover $\phi_s : Y_s \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ defined in Notation 4.7. Abhyankar proved that ϕ_s has Galois group A_{p+s} [2, Section 11]. By Lemmas 4.2 and 4.8, ∞ is the only branch point of ϕ_s . Let Q be a point of Y_s lying above ∞ . The cover ϕ_s is wildly ramified at Q with $p^2 \nmid e(Q|\infty)$. By Lemma 2.5, the inertia group G_0 at Q is of the form $\mathbb{Z}/(p) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/(m)$ for some prime-to- p integer m .

Let h be the lower jump of ϕ_s at Q . The Newton polygon of ϕ_s is the same as the Newton polygon Δ'_s calculated in Lemma 3.3. Therefore, $h = m(p + s)/(p - 1)$, because this is the negative of the slope of the line segment of Δ'_s . By Definition 2.6, the upper jump is $\sigma_s = (p + s)/(p - 1)$.

Write $m = m'm''$ where m' is the order of the prime-to- p center of G_0 . Lemma 2.7(1) implies that $m' = \gcd(h, m)$. Since $h/m = \sigma_s = (p+s)/(p-1)$, it follows that $m'' = (p-1)/\gcd(p-1, s+1)$.

Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $\tau = (12 \dots p) \in G_0$. By Lemma 2.9, $G_0 = \langle \tau \rangle \rtimes \langle \beta \rangle$ for some β of the form $\beta = \theta^i \omega$. Recall that $\theta \in S_p$ acts faithfully by conjugation on τ and $\omega \in H_s$ commutes with τ . The inertia group G_0 acts transitively on $\{p+1, p+2, \dots, p+s\}$ by Lemma 4.8. Thus ω is a cycle of length s .

The order of β is m , the order of θ^i is m'' , and the order of β is s . Thus $m = \text{lcm}(m'', s)$. It follows that $m' = s/\gcd(p-1, s)$ and $m = (p-1)s/\gcd(p-1, s(s+1))$. The genus calculation is immediate from the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, Theorem 2.4. $\square$

5 Applications

5.1 Support for the Inertia Conjecture

In this section, we first give a new proof of Abhyankar's Inertia Conjecture for the group A_p ; this proof does not use the theory of semi-stable reduction. Then we prove Abhyankar's Inertia Conjecture for the group A_{p+2} for an odd prime $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$.

Corollary 5.1. *[5, Cor. 3.1.5] Let $p \geq 5$. Abhyankar's Inertia Conjecture is true for the alternating group A_p . In other words, every subgroup $G_0 \subset A_p$ of the form $\mathbb{Z}/(p) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/(m)$ can be realized as the inertia group of an A_p -Galois cover of $\mathbb{P}_k^1$ branched only at ∞ .*

Proof. Suppose $G_0 \subset A_p$ satisfies the conditions of Conjecture 1.1. Since $p^2 \nmid |A_p|$, then $G_0 \simeq \mathbb{Z}/(p) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/(m)$ for some prime-to- p integer m . Thus the second claim implies the first.

Consider a subgroup $G_0 \subset A_p$ of the form $\mathbb{Z}/(p) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/(m)$. The goal is to show that G_0 is the inertia group of an A_p -Galois cover of the affine line. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $\tau = (12 \dots p) \in G_0$. By Lemma 2.7(2), $G_0 \subset N_{A_p}(\langle \tau \rangle)$. Lemma 2.8 implies that $N_{A_p} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/(p) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/((p-1)/2)$.

It thus suffices to prove, for every $m \mid (p-1)/2$, that there exists an A_p -Galois cover of the affine line, with an inertia group of order pm . Letting $t = 2$, Theorem 4.6 shows the existence of such a cover π_2 with an inertia

group of order $p(p-1)/2$. Since A_p is simple, π_2 is linearly disjoint from the degree r_2 cyclic cover of $\mathbb{P}_k^1$ which is branched at 0 and ∞ . The proof then follows by Lemma 4.1, taking $r_2 = (p-1)/2m$. $\square$

Corollary 5.2. *If $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ is an odd prime, then Abhyankar's Inertia Conjecture is true for $G = A_{p+2}$. In other words, every subgroup $G_0 \subset A_{p+2}$ of the form $\mathbb{Z}/(p) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/(m)$ can be realized as the inertia group of an A_{p+2} -Galois cover of $\mathbb{P}_k^1$ branched only at ∞ .*

Proof. Suppose $G_0 \subset A_{p+2}$ satisfies the conditions of Conjecture 1.1. Since $p^2 \nmid |A_{p+2}|$, then $G_0 \simeq \mathbb{Z}/(p) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/(m)$ for some prime-to- p integer m . Thus the second claim implies the first.

Consider a subgroup $G_0 \subset A_{p+2}$ of the form $\mathbb{Z}/(p) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/(m)$. The goal is to show that G_0 is the inertia group of an A_{p+2} -Galois cover of the affine line. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $\tau = (12 \dots p) \in G_0$. By Lemma 2.7(2), $G_0 \subset N_{A_{p+2}}(\langle \tau \rangle)$. By Lemma 2.9, $N_{A_{p+2}}(\langle \tau \rangle) = \langle \tau \rangle \rtimes \langle \beta \rangle$ where $\beta = \theta(p+1 \ p+2)$. Recall that θ is an odd permutation of order $p-1$ defined in the proof of Lemma 2.8.

It thus suffices to prove, for every $m \mid (p-1)$, that there exists an A_{p+2} -Galois cover of the affine line, with an inertia group of order pm . Letting $s = 2$, Theorem 4.9 shows the existence of such a cover ϕ_2 with an upper jump $\sigma_2 = (p+2)/(p-1)$. Since $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, the upper jump σ_2 is written in lowest terms and thus $m = p-1$. Since A_{p+2} is simple, ϕ_2 is linearly disjoint from a degree r_2 cyclic cover of $\mathbb{P}_k^1$ which is branched at 0 and ∞ . The proof then follows by Lemma 4.1, taking $r_2 = (p-1)/m$. $\square$

When $s > 2$, more equations are needed to prove Abhyankar's Conjecture for A_{p+s} because the normalizer $N_{A_{p+s}}(\langle \tau \rangle)$ contains more than one maximal subgroup of the form $\mathbb{Z}/(p) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/(m)$.

5.2 Formal Patching Results

Suppose $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ is a G -Galois cover which is wildly ramified above ∞ with last upper jump σ . Using the theory of formal patching, it is possible to produce a different G -Galois cover with the same branch locus, but with a larger upper jump above ∞ . The formal patching proof is non-constructive and we do not describe it in this paper. Here are the results that we will use: the first allows us to change the congruence value of the lower jump modulo m and the second allows us to increase the lower jump by a multiple of m .

Lemma 5.3. [5, Prop. 3.1.1] Suppose $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ is a G -Galois cover branched only at ∞ with inertia group $G_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/(m)$ with $p \nmid m$ and with lower jump h . For each $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 \leq d \leq m$, let $m_d = m/\gcd(m, d)$ and $h_d = dh/\gcd(m, d)$. Let $G_0^d \subset G_0$ be the subgroup of order pm_d . Then there exists a G -Galois cover $\pi' : X' \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ branched only at ∞ with inertia group G_0^d and lower jump h_d . If σ and σ' are the upper jumps of π and π' respectively, then $\sigma' = d\sigma$.

Theorem 5.4. [9, Special case of Theorem 2.3.1] Let $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ be a G -Galois cover branched only at ∞ with inertia group $\mathbb{Z}/(p) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/(m)$ and upper jump $\sigma = h/m$. Then for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\gcd(h + im, p) = 1$, there exists a G -Galois cover branched only at ∞ with the same inertia group and upper jump $\sigma' = \sigma + i$.

5.3 Realizing almost all upper jumps for A_{p+s} -Galois covers

Here are the necessary conditions on the upper jump of an A_{p+s} -Galois cover of the affine line.

Notation 5.5. Let $2 \leq s < p$. Suppose $\phi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ is an A_{p+s} -Galois cover branched only at ∞ where it has upper jump $\sigma = h'/m''$ written in lowest terms. Then σ satisfies these necessary conditions: $\sigma > 1$; $p \nmid h'$; and $m''|(p-1)$.

Corollary 5.6. Suppose $2 \leq s < p$ and $\gcd(p-1, s+1) = 1$. Then all but finitely many rational numbers σ satisfying the necessary conditions of Notation 5.5 occur as the upper jump of an A_{p+s} -Galois cover of $\mathbb{P}_k^1$ branched only at ∞ .

Proof. Theorem 4.9 implies that there exists an A_{p+s} -Galois cover of $\mathbb{P}_k^1$ branched only at ∞ with upper jump $\sigma_s = (p+s)/(p-1)$. The condition on s implies that σ is written in lowest terms and thus $m'' = p-1$. The corollary then follows from Lemma 5.3 and Theorem 5.4. $\square$

5.4 Realizing lower jumps for A_n -Galois covers with inertia $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$

Question 5.7. Suppose G is a quasi- p group whose order is strictly divisible by p . For which prime-to- p integers h does there exist a G -Galois cover

$\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ branched only at ∞ with inertia group $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$ and lower jump h ?

By Theorem 5.4, all sufficiently large prime-to- p integers h occur as the lower jump of a G -Galois cover of the affine line with inertia $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$. The question is thus how large h needs to be to guarantee that it occurs as the lower jump of such a cover. In [5, Thm. 3.1.4], the authors prove that every prime-to- p integer $h \geq p - 2$ occurs as the lower jump of an A_p -Galois cover of the affine line with inertia group $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$. The next corollary improves on that result.

Corollary 5.8. *Let $p \geq 5$. Let $h_0 = (p + 1)/\gcd(p + 1, 4)$. There exists an A_p -Galois cover of $\mathbb{P}_k^1$ branched only at ∞ with inertia group $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$ and lower jump h for every prime-to- p integer $h \geq h_0$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that there exists an A_p -Galois cover of the affine line with inertia group $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$ and lower jump h_0 ; once this small value is realized for the lower jump of such a cover, then all larger prime-to- p integers occur as the lower jump of such a cover by Theorem 5.4. Note that the upper and lower jumps are equal when the inertia group has order p .

Let $t = (p - 1)/2$. Then $\gcd(p - 1, t(t - 1))$ equals $(p - 1)/2$ if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and equals $p - 1$ if $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Consider the A_p -Galois cover $\pi_t : X_t \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ in Theorem 4.6 which is branched only at ∞ . If $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then π_t has inertia group of order p and upper jump $(p + 1)/4$. When $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then π_t has inertia group of order $2p$ and upper jump $(p + 1)/4$. In the latter case, taking $d = 2$ in Lemma 5.3 yields an A_p -Galois cover of the affine line with inertia group of order p and upper jump $(p + 1)/2$. $\square$

We now provide a partial answer to Question 5.7 for all other alternating groups whose order is strictly divisible by p .

Corollary 5.9. *Let $2 \leq s < p$. If $p = 7$, assume $s \neq 2$. Let $h_s = s(p + s)/\gcd(p - 1, s(s + 1))$. There exists an A_{p+s} -Galois cover of $\mathbb{P}_k^1$ branched only at ∞ with inertia group $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$ and lower jump h for every prime-to- p integer $h \geq h_s$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.9, there exists an A_{p+s} -Galois cover $\phi_s : Y_s \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ branched only at ∞ with inertia group G_0 of order pm_s and upper jump $\sigma_s = (p + s)/(p - 1)$ where $m_s = (p - 1)s/\gcd(p - 1, s(s + 1))$. Applying Lemma 4.1 with $r_2 = m_s$ produces an A_{p+s} -Galois cover of the affine line with inertia group $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$ and lower jump h_s . This completes the proof by Theorem 5.4. $\square$

Corollary 5.10. *Let $p \neq 7$ be an odd prime. Let $h_1 = 2(p+2)/\gcd(p-1, 3)$. There exists an A_{p+1} -Galois cover of $\mathbb{P}_k^1$ branched only at ∞ with inertia group $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$ and lower jump h for every prime-to- p integer $h \geq h_1$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.9, letting $s = 2$, there exists an A_{p+2} -Galois cover $\phi_2 : Y_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ branched only at ∞ with inertia group G_0 of order pm_2 and upper jump $\sigma_2 = (p+2)/(p-1)$ where $m_2 = (p-1)/\gcd(p-1, 3)$. The lower jump h of ϕ_2 equals $(p+2)/\gcd(p-1, 3)$.

Consider the A_{p+1} -Galois subcover $\tilde{\phi} : Y_2 \rightarrow Z'_2$ of ϕ_2 . It is branched above $P_{(\infty, 0)}$ where it has ramification index m_2 and above $P_{(\infty, \infty)}$ where it has ramification index $pm_2/2$. The lower jump of $\tilde{\phi}$ above $P_{(\infty, \infty)}$ equals the lower jump h of ϕ_2 . The upper jump of $\tilde{\phi}$ is thus $\tilde{\sigma} = 2(p+2)/(p-1)$. Applying the Riemann-Hurwitz formula to ϕ_2 and $\tilde{\phi}$, we note that Z'_2 has genus 0. Another way to see this is that the equation g_2 yields that $x = (y^{p+s} + 1)/y_s$ and so the function field of Z'_2 is $L_2 \simeq k(y)$.

Thus $\tilde{\phi}$ is an A_{p+1} -Galois cover of the projective line branched at two points. Note that $\tilde{\phi}$ is disjoint from an m_2 -cyclic cover of the projective line branched at $\{0, \infty\}$. Applying Lemma 4.1 with $r_2 = m_2$ removes the tamely ramified branch point. In particular, it yields a Galois cover $\tilde{\phi}' : Y'_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ branched only at ∞ , with ramification index p . The upper (and lower) jump of $\tilde{\phi}'$ is $\sigma' = m_2\tilde{\sigma}$ which equals $2(p+2)/\gcd(p-1, 3)$. This completes the proof by Theorem 5.4. $\square$

5.5 Realizing small upper jumps for A_p -Galois covers

The upper jump $\sigma = h/m$ of an A_p -Galois cover of the affine line satisfies the necessary conditions $\sigma > 1$, $\gcd(h, m) = 1$, $m \mid (p-1)/2$, and $p \nmid h$. As a generalization of Question 5.7, we can ask which σ satisfying the necessary conditions occur as the upper jump of an A_p -Galois cover of the affine line.

In [5, Thm. 2], the authors prove that all but finitely many σ which satisfy the necessary conditions occur as the upper jump of an A_p -Galois cover of the affine line. That result generalizes both Corollary 5.8 (where $m = 1$) and Corollary 5.1 (which can be rephrased as stating that all divisors of $(p-1)/2$ occur as the denominator of σ for such a cover). Specifically, given a divisor m of $(p-1)/2$ and a congruence value of h modulo m , [5, Thm. 3.1.4] provides a lower bound on h above which all $\sigma = h/m$ (satisfying the necessary conditions) are guaranteed to occur. The bound is $a(p-2)$ where a is such that $1 \leq a \leq m$ and $a \equiv -h \pmod{m}$.

p	σ obtained from [5, Thm. 3.1.4]	σ unknown from [5]	Theorem 4.6
5	3, 4, 6, ... 3/2, 7/2, 9/2, ...	2 None	None
7	5, 6, 8, ... 5/3, 8/3, 10/3, ...	2, 3, 4 4/3	2, 3, 4
11	9, 10, 12, ... 9/5, 14/5, 19/5, ...	2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 6/5, 7/5, 8/5, 12/5	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 12/5
13	11, 12, 14, 15, ... 11/2, 15/2, 17/2, ... 11/3, 14/3, 17/3, ... 11/6, 17/6, 23/6, ...	2, 3, ..., 10 3/2, 5/2, 7/2, 9/2 4/3, 5/3, 7/3, 8/3, 10/3 7/6	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 5/2, 7/2, 9/2 10/3

Theorem 4.6 improves on [5, Thm. 3.1.4] by providing some new values of σ which were not previously known to occur as the upper jump of an A_p -Galois cover of the affine line. Corollary 5.8 is an example of that improvement; here are two more examples.

Example 5.11. Small primes: The first column of the table shows the values of σ that are achieved in [5, Thm. 3.1.4]. The second column contains rational numbers satisfying the necessary conditions whose status was not known from [5]. The final column contains new values of σ which are guaranteed to occur in Theorem 4.6.

Example 5.12. Suppose $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $m = (p-1)/6$ and $h \equiv -1 \pmod{m}$. Then the lower bound on h to guarantee that h/m occurs as the upper jump of an A_p -Galois cover of the affine line from [5, Thm. 3.1.4] is $p-2$ and from Theorem 4.6 is $(p-3)/2$. Suppose $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and $m = (p-1)/2$ and $h \equiv -3 \pmod{m}$. Then the lower bound on h to guarantee that h/m occurs as the upper jump of an A_p -Galois cover of the affine line from [5, Thm. 3.1.4] is $3(p-2)$ and from Theorem 4.6 is $3(p-3)/2$.

Proof. The previous lower bounds are a direct application of [5, Thm. 3.1.4]. For the new lower bounds, when $t = 3$, then Theorem 4.6 states that $\sigma_3 = 3(p-3)/(p-1)$ occurs as an upper jump of an A_p -Galois cover of the affine line. If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then $m = (p-1)/6$ and $h = (p-3)/2$; (note that $h \equiv -1 \pmod{m}$). If $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, then $m = (p-1)/2$ and $h = 3(p-3)/2$; (note that $h \equiv -3 \pmod{m}$). $\square$

References

- [1] Shreeram Abhyankar. Coverings of algebraic curves. *Amer. J. Math.*, 79:825–856, 1957.
- [2] Shreeram S. Abhyankar. Galois theory on the line in nonzero characteristic. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 27(1):68–133, 1992.
- [3] Shreeram S. Abhyankar. Resolution of singularities and modular Galois theory. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 38(2):131–169 (electronic), 2001.
- [4] Irene I. Bouw. Covers of the affine line in positive characteristic with prescribed ramification. Accepted for publication to Fields Communications Volume WIN - Women In Numbers (Proceedings of the WIN Workshop, Banff International Research Station, Banff Canada), editors A. Cojocaru, K. Lauter, R. Pries, R. Scheidler.
- [5] Irene I. Bouw and Rachel J. Pries. Rigidity, reduction, and ramification. *Math. Ann.*, 326(4):803–824, 2003.
- [6] David Harbater. Formal patching and adding branch points. *Amer. J. Math.*, 115(3):487–508, 1993.
- [7] David Harbater. Abhyankar’s conjecture on Galois groups over curves. *Invent. Math.*, 117(1):1–25, 1994.
- [8] Jürgen Neukirch. *Algebraic number theory*, volume 322 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999. Translated from the 1992 German original and with a note by Norbert Schappacher, With a foreword by G. Harder.
- [9] Rachel J. Pries. Conductors of wildly ramified covers. II. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 335(5):485–487, 2002.
- [10] M. Raynaud. Revêtements de la droite affine en caractéristique $p > 0$ et conjecture d’Abhyankar. *Invent. Math.*, 116(1-3):425–462, 1994.
- [11] John Scherk. The ramification polygon for curves over a finite field. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 46(1):149–156, 2003.

- [12] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Local fields*, volume 67 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979. Translated from the French by Marvin Jay Greenberg.
- [13] Henning Stichtenoth. *Algebraic function fields and codes*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.